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## Abstract

We study locally univalent functions $f$ analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane such that

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq 1+C(1-|z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

holds for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, for some $0<C<\infty$. If $C \leq 1$, then $f$ is univalent by Becker's univalence criterion. We discover that for $1<C<\infty$ the function $f$ remains to be univalent in certain horodiscs. Sufficient conditions which imply that $f$ is bounded, belongs to the Bloch space or belongs to the class of normal functions, are discussed. Moreover, we consider generalizations for locally univalent harmonic functions.

## Introduction

Let us recall some classical univalence criteria. From now on, for simplicity, let $f$ be analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, assume that $f$ is locally univalent, that is, $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.
The Schwarzian derivative of $f$ is defined by setting

$$
S(f)=\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{2}
$$

Since $f^{\prime}$ is nonvanishing, $S(f)$ is an analytic function.
According to the famous Nehari univalence criterion [13, Theorem 1], if
$|S(f)(z)|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} \leq 2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$,
then $f$ is univalent. The result is sharp by an example by Hille [8, Theorem 1].

Binyamin Schwarz [15] showed that if $f(a)=f(b)$ for some $a \neq b$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\zeta \in\langle a, b\rangle}|S(f)(\zeta)|\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)^{2}>2 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\langle a, b\rangle=\left\{\varphi_{a}\left(\varphi_{a}(b) t\right): 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ is the hyperbolic segment between $a$ and $b$ and

$$
\varphi_{a}(z)=\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}
$$

is an automorphism of the unit disc. Condition (2) implies that if
$|S(f)(z)|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} \leq 2, \quad r_{0} \leq|z|<1$,
for some $0<r_{0}<1$, then $f$ has finite valence [15, Corollary 1]
Chuaqui and Stowe [4, p. 564] asked whether
$|S(f)(z)|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} \leq 2+C(1-|z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$,
(4)
where $0<C<\infty$ is a constant, implies that $f$ is of finite valence. The question remains open despite of some progress achieved by Gröhn and Rättyä in [6]. Steinmetz [16, p. 328] showed that if (4) holds, then $f$ is normal, that is, the family $\left\{f \circ \varphi_{a}: a \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$ is normal in the sense of Montel. Equivalently, $\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)<\infty$

The pre-Schwarzian derivative of $f$ is defined as $P(f)=f^{\prime \prime} / f^{\prime}$. Conditions (1)-(4) have analogues stated in terms of the pre-Schwarzian derivative.

The famous Becker univalence criterion [1, Korollar 4.1], states that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid z P(f)\left(1-\left.|z|\right|^{2}\right) \leq \rho, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\rho \leq 1$, then $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. The right-hand-side constant 1 is sharp, see [2, Satz 6] and [5].

Becker and Pommerenke proved recently that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)<\rho, \quad r_{0} \leq|x|<1, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\rho<1$ and some $r_{0} \in(0,1)$, then $f$ has finite valence [3, Theorem 3.4].
It is an open problem, what happens in the case of equality $\rho=1$ in (6). Moreover, the sharp inequality corresponding to (2), in terms of the preSchwarzian, has not been found yet.

In this paper, we consider the growth condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z) \mid}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) \leq 1+C(1-|z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<C<\infty$ is an absolute constant. Analogously to the ChuaquiStowe question, the most interesting question is whether (7) implies that $f$ is of finite valence. We have obtained some partial results.

The converse of Becker's univalence criterion is that each analytic and univalent function $f$ in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfies (5) for $\rho=6$. This follows from the sharp inequality

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{2|z|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{4|z|}{1-|z|^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

see [14, p. 21]. Also condition (7) implies growth estimates for $f$. These estimates can be calculated analogously to [3] and [11]. In particular, condition (7) implies that $f$ is bounded. Slightly relaxed versions of inequality (7) imply that

See [9] for details

## Results

First, we state a local version of Becker's univalence criterion. By Becker's criterion and its converse, the following result is sharp.

Theorem 1 Let $f$ be analytic and locally univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and let $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. If there exists a sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ of points in $\mathbb{D}$ tending to $\zeta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(w_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)}\right|\left(1-\left|w_{n}{ }^{2}\right|\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c \in(6, \infty]$, then for each $\delta>0$ there exists a point $w \in f(\mathbb{D})$ such that at least two of its distinct preimages belong to $D(\zeta, \delta) \cap \mathbb{D}$.
Conversely, if for each $\delta>0$ there exists a point $w \in f(\mathbb{D})$ such that at least two of its distinct preimages belong to $D(\zeta, \delta) \cap \mathbb{D}$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ of points in $\mathbb{D}$ tending to $\zeta$ such that (8) holds for some $c \in[1, \infty]$.

We obtain that under condition (7), function $f$ is univalent in horodiscs. Also the converse assertion holds, as Theorem 3 shows.

Theorem 2 Let $f$ be analytic and locally univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. Assume that
$\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq 1+C(1-|z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$,
for some $0<C<\infty$. If $0<C \leq 1$, then $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. If
$1<C<\infty$, then $f$ is univalent in all discs
$D\left(a e^{i \theta}, 1-a\right), \quad 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi$,
where $a=1-(1+C)^{-2} \in(0,1)$.

Theorem 3 Let $f$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and univalent in all Euclidean discs

$$
D\left(\frac{C}{1+C} e^{i \theta}, \frac{1}{1+C}\right), \quad e^{i \theta} \in \partial \mathbb{D}
$$

for some $0<C<\infty$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z z}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq 2+4(1+K(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

where $K(z) \asymp\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ as $|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}$.

By the converse Becker's criterion, Theorem 3 is sharp. The following example shows that, in general, as the constant $C$ in the condition (7) increases, the valence of $f$ may increase

Example 4 Let $f=f_{C, \zeta}$ be a locally univalent analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(-1)=0$ and

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=-i\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{C \zeta z}{2}}, \quad \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D}, z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1}{1-z^{2}}+\frac{C \zeta}{2}
$$

implying that (7) holds. If $C \leq 1$, then by Becker's univalence criterion $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. Conversely, if $f$ is univalent, then we obtain for $\zeta=1$,

$$
1 \geq \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|}{\left|k^{\prime}(x)\right|}=\frac{e^{\frac{C x}{2}}(1-x)^{5 / 2}}{(1+x)^{1 / 2}} \sim \frac{1+C x / 2}{1+3 x}, \quad x \rightarrow 0^{+}
$$

where $k(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$ is the Koebe function, see [14, p. 21] Therefore, if $C>6$, then $f$ is not univalent.
Numerical calculations suggest that $f$ is not univalent if $\zeta=$ $-i$ and $C>2.21$. Moreover, as $C$ increases, the valence of $f$ increases and is approximately equal to $\frac{100}{63} C$. See Figures 1(a) and (b).


Figure 1(a): Image domain $f(\mathbb{D})$ for $C=2.21$ and $\zeta=-i$. The boundary $\partial f(\mathbb{D})$ is a simple closed curve and $f$ is univalent.


Figure 1(b): Image domain $f(\mathbb{D}) / 10^{12}$ for $C=30$ and $\zeta=-i$. The boundary curve $\partial f(\mathbb{D})$ intersects itself multiple times. We may calculate the valence of $f$ by counting how many times $h(t)=\operatorname{Re}\left(f\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)$ changes its sign on $(0, \pi]$. The valence of the simply connected domain $D_{j}$ under $f$ is $j$, for $j=1,2,3$, respectively.

The obtained results can be generalized to complex-valued harmonic functions. Each such function $f$, defined in $\mathbb{D}$, has the unique represen tation $f=h+\bar{g}$, where both $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $g(0)=0$. In this case, $f=h+\bar{g}$ is orientation preserving and locally univalent, if and only if its Jacobian $J_{f}=\left|h^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}>0$, by a result by Lewy [12]. The definitions of the pre-Schwarzian and Schwarzian derivatives can now b extended by setting

$$
P(f)=\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \log J_{f} \quad \text { and } \quad S(f)=\frac{\partial}{\partial z} P(f)-\frac{1}{2} P(f)^{2} .
$$

In terms of these operators, various univalence criteria exist also for complex-valued harmonic functions. For details, see $[7,10,9]$ and th references therein.
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