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#### Abstract

In this paper, we shall investigate complex analytic properties of Riemann mappings of simply connected invariant components of Kleinian groups. In particular, we consider the growth of the derivatives of Riemann mappings to understand Kleinian groups that are quasi-Fuchsian groups, regular b-groups and Kleinian groups with bounded geometry.


## 1. Introduction and results

Let $G$ be a finitely generated Kleinian group, namely $G$ is a finitely generated discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then, $G$ acts properly discontinuously on the hyperbolic 3 -space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ and we have a hyperbolic 3-manifold (or orbifold) $N_{G}=\mathbb{H}^{3} / G$.

Every $g \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is regarded as a Möbius transformation on $\partial \mathbb{H}^{3}=\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence, $G$ acts on the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. The region of discontinuity $\Omega_{G}$ of $G$ is the maximal open subset of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ where the action of $G$ is properly discontinuous. Throughout this paper, we assume that $\Omega_{G} \neq \emptyset$. In general, $\Omega_{G}$ is an open set with fractal boundary $\Lambda_{G}$, the limit set of $G$.

In some cases, properties of $\Omega_{G}, G$ and $N_{G}$ may have deep interaction. For example, if $\Omega_{G}$ is a union of two topological disks $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$, both of which are invariant under the action of $G$, then $G$ is a quasi-Fuchsian group and $N_{G} \cup\left(\Omega_{G} / G\right)$ is homeomorphic to $[0,1] \times S$, where $S=U_{1} / G$. Conversely, if $N_{G} \cup\left(\Omega_{G} / G\right)$ is homeomorphic to [ 0,1$] \times S$, then $\Omega_{G}$ is a union of two quasi-disks (cf. [10]). In this paper, we consider such interactions between them from the view of geometric function theory.

We state our main results here. We explain the terminology of the results in Section 2. We begin with the following result by McMullen [12].

Proposition 1.1. Let $G$ be a finitely generated non-elementary Kleinian group with an invariant component $\Omega_{0}$; then the following conditions are equivalent.
(1) The invariant component $\Omega_{0}$ is a John domain.
(2) The Kleinian group $G$ is geometrically finite and every parabolic element stabilizes a round disk in $\Omega_{0}$.
Furthermore, if $\Omega_{0}$ is simply connected, then $\Omega_{0}$ is a John domain if and only if it is a quasi-disk. Hence, $G$ is a quasi-Fuchsian group.

At first, we note that the above result is improved as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Let $G$ be a finitely generated non-elementary Kleinian group with an invariant component $\Omega_{0}$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\Omega_{0}$ is a Hölder domain;
(2) $\Omega_{0}$ is a John domain;

[^0](3) $G$ is geometrically finite and every parabolic element stabilizes a round disk in $\Omega_{0}$. Furthermore, if $\Omega_{0}$ is simply connected, then $\Omega_{0}$ is a Hölder domain if and only if it is a quasi-disk. Hence, $G$ is a quasi-Fuchsian group.

REmARK 1.1. Every John domain is a Hölder domain, but the converse is not true in general.

Next, we assume that $\Omega_{0}$ is simply connected but the Kleinian group $G$ is not quasi-Fuchsian. The Riemann mapping theorem guarantees us the existence of a conformal mapping $\varphi$ of the unit disk $D$ onto $\Omega_{0}$. Then, we get a result on the growth of the derivative of the conformal mapping $\varphi$ when $G$ is geometrically finite, namely a regular $b$-group.

ThEOREM 1.2. Let $G$ be a regular b-group having the simply connected invariant component $\Omega_{0}$ with $\partial \Omega_{0} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let $\varphi$ be a conformal mapping from the unit disk $D$ onto $\Omega_{0}$. Then there exists a constant $A>0$, depending only on $\varphi$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{A}{(1-|z|)|\log (1-|z|)|^{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $z$ near $\partial D$.

REMARK 1.2. (1) As for a conformal mapping $\varphi$ from $D$ onto a quasi-disk, a much stronger estimate than (1.1),

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{A}{(1-|z|)^{\kappa}}
$$

holds for any $z \in D$, where $A>0$ and $0<\kappa<1$ are constants independent of $z(c f .[\mathbf{1 7}])$. We also note a weaker inequality,

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{A}{(1-|z|)^{3}}
$$

which is obtained by the Koebe distortion theorem; this is an estimate for arbitrary conformal mappings on the unit disk. Moreover, it is a sharp estimate because the Koebe function $k(z)=$ $z(1-z)^{-2}$ attains the equality. Thus, the above theorem implies that the estimate (1.1) is worse than that of a quasi-disk but much better than a general one.
(2) Gehring and Pommerenke [9] showed that if $\left\|S_{\varphi}\right\| \leqslant 2$, then $\varphi$ satisfies the same inequality as that of Theorem 1.2, where $S_{\varphi}$ is the Shwarzian derivative of $\varphi$ and

$$
\left\|S_{\varphi}\right\|=\sup _{z \in D}(1-|z|)^{2}\left|S_{\varphi}(z)\right|
$$

In Theorem 1.2, the conformal mapping $\varphi: D \rightarrow \Omega_{0}$ represents a boundary point of the Teichmüller space of a Riemann surface of finite type, with $\left\|S_{\varphi}\right\|>2$. Hence, Theorem 1.2 says that our conformal mapping $\varphi$ still has the same growth of the derivative as that of Gehring-Pommerenke's theorem when $\left\|S_{\varphi}\right\|>2$.

Corollary 1.1. Let $G$ be a regular b-group with the simply connected invariant component $\Omega_{0}$. Then the limit set of $G$ is locally connected. Furthermore, the conformal mapping $\varphi$ has a continuous extension to $\partial D$, which is denoted by the same letter $\varphi$, and if $\Lambda_{G} \subset \mathbb{C}$, then an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)-\varphi\left(e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{A}{\left|\log \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\right|} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in[0,2 \pi]$, where $A>0$ is a constant independent of $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$.

Remark 1.3. (1) Abikoff [1] shows that the limit set of $G$ is locally connected if $G$ is a regular $b$-group. However, his proof is different from ours. Also, he does not give any estimate for a Riemann mapping.
(2) Anderson and Maskit [2] give a condition of the local connectivity of the limit sets in terms of a structure subgroup of the Kleinian group. McMullen [13] shows that the limit set of a once punctured torus group is locally connected.

The exponent 2 of $|\log (1-|z|)|$ in (1.1) is crucial. Actually, we may show the following.

Theorem 1.3. Let $G$ be a finitely generated Kleinian group having a simply connected invariant component $\Omega_{0}$ with $\partial \Omega_{0} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let $\varphi$ be a conformal mapping of the unit disk $D$ onto $\Omega_{0}$. Suppose that $\Omega_{0} / G$ has no punctures. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.
(1) There exist constants $\alpha>0, A>0$ and a point $\zeta_{0} \in \Omega_{0}$ such that, for any $z \in \varphi^{-1}\left(G \zeta_{0}\right) \backslash$ $\varphi^{-1}(\infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{A}{(1-|z|)|\log (1-|z|)|^{2+\alpha}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
(2) The Kleinian group $G$ is a quasi-Fuchsian group.
(3) There exist constants $A>0$ and $0<\kappa<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{A}{(1-|z|)^{\kappa}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $z$ near $\partial D$.

Remark 1.4. This theorem implies that a much weaker estimate (1.3) gives a stronger one (1.4) if the domain is invariant under the action of $G$.

Finally, we shall consider the regularity of $\varphi$ when $G$ is a Kleinian group with bounded geometry.

Theorem 1.4. Let $G$ be a finitely generated Kleinian group having a simply connected invariant component $\Omega_{0}$ with $\partial \Omega_{0} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let $\varphi$ be a conformal mapping of the unit disk $D$ onto $\Omega_{0}$. Suppose that $G$ has bounded geometry. Then, there exist constants $A>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{A}{(1-|z|)|\log (1-|z|)|^{\alpha}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $z$ near $\partial D$.
Acknowledgement. The author thanks the anonymous referee of an earlier version of this paper for giving him an idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 that is much simpler than the original one.

## 2. Notation and terminology

### 2.1. John and Hölder domains

Definition 2.1 (cf. [16]). A domain $D$ in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a John domain if there is a point $x_{0} \in D$ and a constant $c>0$ such that, for any $x \in D$, there exists a path $p:[0,1] \rightarrow D$ from
$x_{0}$ to $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(p(t), \partial D)>c d(p(t), x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0,1]$, where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ stands for the spherical distance.

Definition 2.2. A domain $D$ in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a Hölder domain if there exist a point $x_{0} \in D$ and constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{D}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \leqslant c_{1} \log \frac{\delta_{D}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\delta_{D}(x)}+c_{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in D$, where $\delta_{D}(x)=d(x, \partial D)$ and $k_{D}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the quasi-hyperbolic distance on $D$, that is, we have

$$
k_{D}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\inf _{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \frac{d s(x)}{\delta_{D}(x)}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all curves $\gamma$ joining $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ in $D$, and $d s$ is the spherical metric.

REmARK 2.1. It is easily seen that a John domain is a Hölder domain; however, the converse is not true [18].

REMARK 2.2. In the definition of Hölder domains, usually the Euclidean distance is used instead of the spherical distance. Becker and Pommerenke [4] show that if such a Hölder domain is simply connected, then it is characterized by the Hölder continuity of the Riemann mapping. Also, Smith and Stegenga [19, Corollary 1] show that such Hölder domains are bounded. Thus, any Hölder domain defined by using the Euclidean distance satisfies (2.2).

### 2.2. Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry

Here, we shall explain some fundamental facts on Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry. For more details, see [11], for example.

Let $G$ be a Kleinian group; we denote by $\Omega_{G}$ and $\Lambda_{G}$ the region of discontinuity and the limit set of $G$, respectively. We call a Kleinian group non-elementary if the limit set contains more than two points. From now on, we assume that a Kleinian group is non-elementary. A connected component of $\Omega_{G}$ is called a component of $G$. A component $\Omega$ of $G$ is called invariant if $G \Omega=\Omega$.

By the Poincaré extension, any $g \in G$ is regarded as an isometry of the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}^{3}=\left\{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid t>0\right\}$ with the hyperbolic metric

$$
d s^{2}=\frac{d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d t^{2}}{t^{2}}
$$

It is known that $G$ acts properly discontinuously on $\mathbb{H}^{3}$. Hence, we have a hyperbolic 3-manifold (orbifold) $N_{G}=\mathbb{H}^{3} / G$. The convex hull $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of $G$ is the minimal convex set in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ that contains all geodesics connecting two points of $\Lambda_{G}$.

Now, we define some classes of Kleinian groups.

Definition 2.3. A Kleinian group $G$ is called geometrically finite if the quotient of $\varepsilon$-neighborhood $\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}(G)$ of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ via $G$ has finite volume for any $\varepsilon>0$. A geometrically finite Kleinian group $G$ is called convex co-compact if it contains no parabolic transformations. A geometrically finite Kleinian group is called a regular b-group if it has only one simply connected invariant component.

Definition 2.4. A Kleinian group $G$ is said to have bounded geometry if there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ such that the injectivity radius with respect to the hyperbolic metric at any point of $N_{G}$ is greater than $\varepsilon$.

Finally in this section, we give a mapping that plays an important role in the proof of our theorems. For any point $z \in \Omega_{G}$ and for any $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$, we define the nearest point projection $\Pi_{\varepsilon}(z) \in \partial \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}(G)$, where we set $\mathcal{C}_{0}(G):=\mathcal{C}(G)$. Namely, $\Pi_{\varepsilon}(z)$ is the point in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ where a horoball inflated at $z$ first touches $\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}(G)$. From the construction, it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\varepsilon}(g(z))=g\left(\Pi_{\varepsilon}(z)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z \in \Omega_{G}$ and for every $g \in G$.
Here, we present an important theorem on the nearest point projection (cf. [7, 14]).

Theorem 2.1. For $\varepsilon>0$, the map $\Pi_{\varepsilon}$ is ( $\cosh \varepsilon$ )-quasi-conformal and ( $4 \cosh \varepsilon$ )-Lipschitz, and the inverse $\Pi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}: \partial \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}(G) \rightarrow \Omega_{0}$ is $(1 / \sinh \varepsilon)$-Lipschitz.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Suppose that $\Omega_{0}$ is a Hölder domain. It is known that the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of a Hölder domain is less than 2. Therefore, from a theorem of Bishop and Jones [6], we see that $G$ is geometrically finite. Furthermore, from a theorem of Beardon and Maskit [3] on geometrically finite Kleinian groups we may find a round disk satisfying the condition in (3).

Indeed, let $p_{0}$ be a parabolic fixed point on $\Lambda_{G}$ and let $G_{p_{0}}$ be the stabilized subgroup of $p_{0}$ in $G$. We find that $G_{p_{0}}$ is either cyclic or rank 2.

If $G_{p_{0}}$ is cyclic, then there exist two round disks $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ in $\Omega_{G}$ such that $\partial U_{1} \cap \partial U_{2}=\left\{p_{0}\right\}$ and $G_{p_{0}}\left(U_{j}\right)=U_{j}(j=1,2)$ (see $\left.[\mathbf{3}]\right)$. If $U_{1}$ or $U_{2}$ is contained in $\Omega_{0}$, then the condition (3) is satisfied. If $U_{1} \cup U_{2}$ is not contained in $\Omega_{0}$, then $\Omega_{0}$ lies in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left(U_{1} \cup U_{2}\right)$. Namely, $\Omega_{0}$ is in the region between two tangent disks. Then it is easy to find points in $\Omega_{0}$ that violate (2.2), which is a contradiction.

Suppose that $G_{p_{0}}$ is rank 2. We may assume that $p_{0}=\infty$ and $G_{p_{0}}$ is generated by $g_{1}: z \mapsto$ $z+1$ and $g_{2}: z \mapsto z+c(c \notin \mathbb{R})$. Since $\Omega_{0}$ is invariant under the action of $G_{p_{0}}$, we see that $z_{n}=g_{1}^{n}(z)\left(z \in \Omega_{0} ; n=1,2, \ldots\right)$ does not satisfy (2.2).

Thus, we have shown that (1) implies (3). Other implications follow, by Proposition 1.1.
When $\Omega_{0}$ is simply connected, it follows from a classification of Kleinian groups that $G$ is either a quasi-Fuchsian group or a regular b-group. We may assume that $\Omega_{0}$ is a bounded domain because both a quasi-Fuchsian group and a regular b-group have a component other than $\Omega_{0}$.

If $G$ is a regular $b$-group, then it contains an accidental parabolic transformation, say $g_{0}$. Therefore, we may take a simple closed curve $c$ passing through the fixed point $p_{0}$ of $g_{0}$ such that $g_{0}(c)=c, c \backslash\left\{p_{0}\right\} \subset \Omega_{0}$ and each component of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash c$ contains a point of $\Lambda_{G}$. Thus, the limit set $\Lambda_{G}$, which is the boundary of $\Omega_{0}$, is tangent at $p_{0}$. Hence, we may also see that the condition (2.2) does not hold and we have a contradiction.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.1

First, we shall prove Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be a regular $b$-group with the simply connected invariant component $\Omega_{0}$. Since $G$ has a component other than $\Omega_{0}$, we may assume that $\Omega_{0}$ is a bounded domain. Thus, we may use the Euclidean distance to measure $\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(\cdot)$ instead of the spherical distance.

We use the ball model $\mathbf{B}^{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}| | x \mid<1\right\}$ as the hyperbolic 3 -space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$. We may assume that $(0,0,0):=\mathbf{0} \in \partial \mathcal{C}(G), 0 \in \Omega_{0}$ and $\Pi(0)=\mathbf{0}$, where $\Pi(z):=\Pi_{0}(z)\left(z \in \Omega_{0}\right)$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(g(0))=g(\Pi(0))=g(\mathbf{0}) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (2.3).
First we observe the following fact, which is seen in the proof of [5, Lemma 8]. For the convenience of the reader, we shall give a proof of this fact.

Lemma 4.1. For any $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$, there exists a constant $A=A_{\varepsilon, G}>1$ depending on $G$ and $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-1} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z) \leqslant 1-\left|\Pi_{\varepsilon}(z)\right| \leqslant A \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z \in \Omega_{0}$.

Proof. For $\zeta \in \Lambda_{G}$, we denote by $L_{\zeta}$ the line segment connecting $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{B}^{3}$ and $\zeta$. Since $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is closed and convex, we see that $L_{\zeta} \subset \mathcal{C}(G) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}(G)$.
For $z \in \Omega_{0}$, we take $\zeta_{0} \in \Lambda_{G}$ such that

$$
d\left(z, \zeta_{0}\right)=\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z)
$$

For $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$, let $H_{z}^{\varepsilon}$ be the horoball at $z$ defining $\Pi_{\varepsilon}(z)$ and let $R_{z}^{\varepsilon}$ be the radius of $H_{z}^{\varepsilon}$. Then, $L_{\zeta_{0}} \cap \operatorname{Int} H_{z}^{\varepsilon}=\emptyset$. Therefore, we have

$$
R_{z}^{\varepsilon} \leqslant A_{1} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z)
$$

for some constant $A_{1}>0$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\left|\Pi_{\varepsilon}(z)\right| \leqslant 2 R_{z}^{\varepsilon} \leqslant 2 A_{1} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, let $B_{z}^{0}$ denote an open hemi-ball in $\mathbf{B}^{3}$ centered at $z$ with radius $\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z)$. Then, $\partial \mathcal{C}(G)$ lies outside of $B_{z}^{0}$. In particular $\Pi_{0}(z)$ lies outside of $B_{z}^{0}$.

Indeed, if $p \in \partial \mathcal{C}(G)$, then there exists a geodesic $L$ in $\mathbf{B}^{3}$ such that $L \ni p$ and $L \subset \mathcal{C}(G)$. The end points of $L$ are in $\Lambda_{G}$. Thus, the geodesic $L$ lies outside of $B_{z}^{0}$ and so does $p$.

For $\varepsilon>0$, take another hemi-ball $B_{z}^{\varepsilon}$ centered at $z$ such that $B_{z}^{\varepsilon} \subset B_{z}^{0}$ and $d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}\left(\partial B_{z}^{0}, \partial B_{z}^{\varepsilon}\right)=$ $\varepsilon$. Then, $\partial \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}(G)$ lies outside of $B_{z}^{\varepsilon}$ because $\partial \mathcal{C}(G)$ lies outside of $B_{z}^{0}$. Also, it is not hard to see that there exists a constant $c>0$ independent of $z \in \Omega_{0}(G)$ such that the radius of $B_{z}^{\varepsilon}$ is greater than $c \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z)$.
Therefore, $\operatorname{Int} H_{z}^{\varepsilon}$ does not intersect $L_{\zeta_{0}}$ and lies beyond the hemi-ball $B_{z}^{\varepsilon}$. From this observation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\left|\Pi_{\varepsilon}(z)\right| \geqslant A_{2} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z), \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $A_{2}>0$ not depending on $z \in \Omega_{0}$. Hence, we obtain the desired inequality (4.2).

Now, we consider a finitely generated Kleinian group $H$ and a finite generating set $\Sigma$ of $H$ and we fix it. For each $h \in H$, we denote by $|h|$ the minimal word length of $h$ with respect to $\Sigma$.

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(H)=\sup \left\{k \left\lvert\, \sup _{h \in H} \frac{|h|^{k}}{\exp \left\{d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}(\mathbf{0}, h(\mathbf{0}))\right\}}<\infty\right.\right\}, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the hyperbolic distance on $\mathbf{B}^{3}$. It is easily seen that $\alpha(H)$ does not depend on a finite generating set $\Sigma$. Then, Floyd [8] shows the following.

Proposition 4.1. Let $H$ be a geometrically finite Kleinian group. Then, $\alpha(H) \geqslant 2$.

Since $G$ is geometrically finite, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that there exists a constant $A_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \log |g|-A_{1} \leqslant d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}(\mathbf{0}, g(\mathbf{0})) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $g \in G$.
Combining (4.2) and (4.6) together with (4.1), we see that an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g|^{2} \leqslant A_{2} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(g(0))^{-1} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some constant $A_{2}>0$ not depending on $g \in G$. On the other hand, it is not hard to see that

$$
d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, g(0)) \leqslant A_{3}|g|
$$

holds for some constant $A_{3}>0$ independent of $g \in G$, where $d_{\Omega_{0}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the hyperbolic distance in $\Omega_{0}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, g(0))^{2} \leqslant A_{4} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(g(0))^{-1} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we claim that in (4.8) $g(0)$ is replaced by any $z$ in $\Omega_{0}$.

Lemma 4.2. There exists a constant $A_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, z)^{2} \leqslant A_{5} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z)^{-1} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $z \in \Omega_{0}$.

Proof. First we assume that $\Omega_{0} / G$ is compact. Then we may take a compact fundamental region $\omega \subset \Omega_{0}$ for $G$ in $\Omega_{0}$ and we consider $\tilde{\omega}=\Pi(\omega)$. We may assume that $\omega$ contains the origin.

Since the hyperbolic diameter $|\tilde{\omega}|$ of $\tilde{\omega}$ is finite, we obtain

$$
d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}(\mathbf{0}, g(\mathbf{0})) \leqslant 2|\tilde{\omega}|+d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}(p, g(p))
$$

for any $p \in \tilde{\omega}$ and for any $g \in G$. Hence, from (4.6) we may find a constant $B$ such that

$$
2 \log |g|-B \leqslant d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}(p, g(p))
$$

holds for any $p \in \tilde{\omega}$ and for any $g \in G$. Since the constant $B$ does not depend on $p \in \tilde{\omega}$, by the same proof as that of (4.8) we may take a constant $A_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, g(w))^{2} \leqslant A_{5} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(g(w))^{-1} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $w \in \omega$ and for any $g \in G$. Since $\Omega_{0}=\bigcup_{g \in G} g(\omega)$, we obtain the desired inequality.
If $\Omega_{0} / G$ is non-compact, it has only finitely many punctures. For simplicity, we assume that $\Omega_{0} / G$ has only one puncture. Let $\zeta \in \partial \Omega_{0}$ be a fixed point of a parabolic transformation $g_{0} \in G$ which represents the puncture of $\Omega_{0} / G$. We may take $g_{0}$ as a generator of the stabilizer of $\zeta$ in $G$.

There exists a horodisk $D_{\zeta} \subset \Omega_{0}$ such that $g_{0}\left(D_{\zeta}\right)=D_{\zeta}$ and $\partial D_{\zeta} \cap \Lambda_{G}=\{\zeta\}$. We may take $D_{\zeta}$ so small that $g\left(D_{\zeta}\right) \cap D_{\zeta}=\emptyset$ for any $g \in G \backslash<g_{0}>$. We also take a horodisk $D_{\zeta}^{\prime}$ in $D_{\zeta}$ such that the radius of $D_{\zeta}^{\prime}$ is one-third of that of $D_{\zeta}, g_{0}\left(D_{\zeta}^{\prime}\right)=D_{\zeta}^{\prime}$ and $\partial D_{\zeta}^{\prime} \cap \Lambda_{G}=\{\zeta\}$. Let $\omega \subset \Omega_{0}$ be a fundamental region for $G$ in $\Omega_{0}$. We may take $\omega$ so natural that $\omega_{0}:=\omega \backslash\left(D_{\zeta}^{\prime} \cap \omega\right)$ is compact. We may also assume that $D_{\zeta}^{\prime} \cap \omega$ is bounded by two smooth arcs in $D_{\zeta}^{\prime}$, say $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$, such that $g_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\alpha_{2}$ and both arcs end at $\zeta$ non-tangentially in $D_{\zeta}^{\prime}$.

Since $\omega_{0}$ is compact, it follows from the above argument that there exists a constant $A_{0}>0$ such that, for any $w \in \bigcup_{g \in G} g\left(\omega_{0}\right)$, we find that

$$
d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, g(w))^{2} \leqslant A_{0} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(g(w))^{-1}
$$

holds.
Let $a$ be the center of $D_{\zeta}$. We may assume that the line segment $[a, \zeta)$ is contained in $\omega$. Consider $I_{\zeta}:=[a, \zeta) \cap D_{\zeta}^{\prime}$. For any $z \in I_{\zeta}$, we have $\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z) \leqslant \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(a)$. Since $D_{\zeta} \subset \Omega_{0}$, we have

$$
d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, z) \leqslant d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, a)+d_{\Omega_{0}}(a, z) \leqslant d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, a)+d_{D_{\zeta}}(a, z) .
$$

Obviously, $\quad d_{D_{\varsigma}}(a, z) \leqslant-3 \log |z-\zeta|=-3 \log \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z) \quad$ for $\quad z \in I_{\zeta}$. On the other hand, $d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, a)^{2} \leqslant A_{0} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(a)^{-1}$ because $a \in \omega_{0}$.
Combining these inequalities, we see that there exists a constant $A_{0}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, z)^{2} \leqslant A_{0}^{\prime} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z)^{-1} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $z \in I_{\zeta}$.
Next, we take any point $z$ in $D_{\zeta}^{\prime}$. Let $D(z) \subset D_{\zeta}^{\prime}$ be a horodisk such that $\partial D(z) \ni z, \zeta$. Consider $b=\partial D(z) \cap I_{\zeta}$ and take a horodisk $D_{0}(z)$ centered at $b$ that touches $\Lambda_{G}$ at $\zeta$. Then, we see that there exists an absolute constant $C>0$ not depending on $z$ such that

$$
|z-\zeta| \leqslant C \delta_{D_{0}(z)}(z)^{1 / 2}
$$

The hyperbolic distance $d_{D_{0}(z)}(b, z)$ in $D_{0}(z)$ is not less than $d_{\Omega_{0}}(b, z)$ and it is comparable to $-\log \delta_{D_{0}(z)}(z)$. Hence, we have

$$
\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z) \leqslant|z-\zeta| \leqslant C^{\prime} \exp \left(-\frac{d_{D_{0}(z)}(b, z)}{2}\right) \leqslant C^{\prime} \exp \left(-\frac{d_{\Omega_{0}}(b, z)}{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
d_{\Omega_{0}}(b, z)^{2} \leqslant \frac{C^{\prime}}{\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z)}
$$

for some constant $C^{\prime}>0$.
Since $b \in I_{\zeta}$, we have

$$
d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, b)^{2} \leqslant A_{0}^{\prime} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(b)^{-1}
$$

from the previous argument. Noting that $\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z) \leqslant C^{\prime \prime} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(b)$ for some constant $C^{\prime \prime}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, z)^{2} \leqslant A_{5} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z)^{-1} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $z \in D_{\zeta}^{\prime}$, where the constant $A_{5}$ does not depend on $z$.
The argument above uses a Euclidean geometric model. Thus, the inequality (4.12) holds for any $z \in g\left(D_{\zeta}^{\prime}\right)(g \in G)$ with the same constant $A_{5}$. Hence, the proof is completed.

Now, we proceed to the proof of the theorem. Let $\varphi: D \rightarrow \Omega_{0}$ be a conformal mapping from the unit disk $D$ onto $\Omega_{0}$ with $\varphi(0)=0$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that, for any $z \in D$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{D}(0, z)^{2}=d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, \varphi(z))^{2} \leqslant A_{5} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(\varphi(z))^{-1} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Here, we use the Koebe distortion theorem, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} \leqslant \frac{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(\varphi(z))} \leqslant \frac{4}{1-|z|^{2}} . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $d_{D}(0,|z|)=\log (1+|z|)(1-|z|)$, from (4.13) and (4.14), we have

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{A}{(1-|z|)|\log (1-|z|)|^{2}}
$$

Thus, the proof of the theorem is completed.

Next, we shall prove Corollary 1.1. For any $r \in[0,1)$ and $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$, we have

$$
\varphi\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=\int_{0}^{r} \varphi^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right) d t
$$

Hence, from (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)-\varphi\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| & \leqslant \int_{r}^{R}\left|\varphi^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d t \\
& \leqslant A \int_{r}^{R} \frac{1}{1-t} \cdot \frac{1}{(\log (1-t))^{2}} d t \\
& =A\left(\frac{1}{\log (1-R)}-\frac{1}{\log (1-r)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we see that the radial limit $\varphi\left(e^{i \theta}\right):=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ of $\varphi$ exists at $e^{i \theta} \in \partial D$. Also, we verify that the convergence is uniform on $\partial D$. Hence, the conformal mapping $\varphi$ has a continuous extension on $D \cup \partial D$ and it implies that $\varphi(\partial D)=\Lambda_{G}$ is locally connected.

To see the continuity of $\varphi$ on $\partial D$, we take a curve $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{3}$, where $\Gamma_{1}=\left\{(1-t) e^{i \theta_{1}}\right.$ $\mid 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1-\rho\}, \Gamma_{2}=\left\{\rho e^{i \theta} \mid \theta_{1} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \theta_{2}\right\}$ and $\Gamma_{3}=\left\{t e^{i \theta_{2}} \mid \rho \leqslant t \leqslant 1\right\}$ for some $\rho<1$. We may assume that $0<\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}<1$. Then, $\Gamma$ is a curve from $e^{i \theta_{1}}$ to $e^{i \theta_{2}}$. Since $\varphi$ has a continuous extension on $D \cup \partial D$, we have

$$
\varphi\left(e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)-\varphi\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)=\int_{\Gamma} \varphi^{\prime}(z) d z .
$$

From (1.1), we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{\Gamma_{j}} \varphi^{\prime}(z) d z\right| \leqslant \frac{-A}{\log (1-\rho)} \quad(j=1,3)
$$

and

$$
\left|\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \varphi^{\prime}(z) d z\right| \leqslant \frac{A\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)}{(1-\rho)(\log (1-\rho))^{2}}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\left|\varphi\left(e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)-\varphi\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{-2 A}{\log (1-\rho)}+\frac{A\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)}{(1-\rho)(\log (1-\rho))^{2}}
$$

Here, we take $\rho<1$ as $1-\rho=\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}>0$. Then, for some constant $A^{\prime}>0$, we have

$$
\left|\varphi\left(e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)-\varphi\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{A^{\prime}}{\left|\log \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)\right|}
$$

as desired.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In the proof of Theorem 1.3 we use a similar argument to the one in the previous section. The statement that (3) implies (1) is obvious. If $G$ is a quasi-Fuchsian group, then $\Omega_{0}$ is a quasi-disk and $\varphi: D \rightarrow \Omega_{0}$ has a quasi-conformal extension to $\mathbb{C}$. Thus, a theorem of geometric function theory yields that (2) implies (3). Therefore, we will show that (1) implies (2).

Suppose that (1.3) holds for some $\zeta_{0} \in \Omega_{0}$. Since it is supposed that $\partial \Omega_{0}=\Lambda_{G}$ is compact, we may use the Euclidean distance to define $\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(\cdot)$ instead of the spherical distance. Then, from
the Koebe distortion theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(\varphi(z)) & \leqslant A_{0}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{A A_{0}}{|\log (1-|z|)|^{2+\alpha}},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $z \in \varphi^{-1}\left(G \zeta_{0}\right)$. (Actually, the Koebe distortion theorem is a statement for univalent holomorphic functions. In our case, $\Omega_{0}$ may contain the point at infinity and hence $\varphi$ may have a pole in $D$. Nevertheless, taking compositions of $\varphi$ and Möbius transformations, we verify that the above estimate holds for some $A_{0}>0$.)

Noting that $\varphi(z)=g\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ for some $g \in G$, from Lemma 4.1 we see that

$$
1-\left|\Pi\left(g\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{A^{\prime}}{\left|\log \left(1-\left|\varphi^{-1} \circ g \circ \varphi\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\right)\right|^{2+\alpha}},
$$

where $z_{0}=\varphi^{-1}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$. We may assume that $\Pi\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{B}^{3}$. Hence, we have

$$
1-|g(\mathbf{0})| \leqslant \frac{A^{\prime}}{\left|\log \left(1-\left|\varphi^{-1} \circ g \circ \varphi\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\right)\right|^{2+\alpha}} .
$$

On the other hand, $\Gamma:=\varphi^{-1} G \varphi$ is a Fuchsian group without parabolic transformation because $D / \Gamma=\Omega_{0} / G$ has no punctures. Then, it is known that the hyperbolic distance $d_{D}\left(z_{0}, \gamma\left(z_{0}\right)\right)(\gamma \in \Gamma)$ in $D$ is comparable to the minimum word length $|\gamma|$ of $\gamma$ with respect to a system of generators of $\Gamma$ (cf. [8]). Namely, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
C^{-1}|\gamma| \leqslant d_{D}\left(z_{0}, \gamma\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\log \frac{1+\left|\gamma\left(z_{0}\right)\right|}{1-\left|\gamma\left(z_{0}\right)\right|} \leqslant C|\gamma|
$$

holds for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $G \ni g \mapsto \varphi^{-1} \circ g \circ \varphi \in \Gamma$ is an isomorphism, we may consider $|g|=$ $|\gamma|$ for $g=\varphi \circ \gamma \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Noting that

$$
d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}(\mathbf{0}, g(\mathbf{0}))=\log \frac{1+|g(\mathbf{0})|}{1-|g(\mathbf{0})|},
$$

we conclude that $\alpha(G) \geqslant 2+\alpha>2$.
Here, we use the following characterization of convex co-compact Kleinian groups which has been recently obtained by Yamaguchi [20].

Proposition 5.1. Let $G$ be a finitely generated non-elementary Kleinian group. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $G$ is convex co-compact;
(2) $\alpha(G)>2$;
(3) $\alpha(G)=\infty$.

From the proposition above, we verify that $G$ is a convex co-compact Kleinian group with a simply connected invariant component. Thus, it must be a quasi-Fuchsian group.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In the proof of Theorem 1.4, we use model manifolds constructed by Minsky [14] for Kleinian groups with bounded geometry. In the proof, we use letters such as $A, B, \ldots, a, b, \ldots$ and $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$ for constants but the same letter may not be the same constant if it is used in a different equation.
Let $G$ be a finitely generated Kleinian group with bounded geometry with a simply connected invariant component $\Omega_{0}$ and denote the Riemann surface $\Omega_{0} / G$ by $S$. We may assume that $G$ is not a quasi-Fuchsian group. Therefore, $G$ is a geometrically infinite Kleinian group or, more
precisely, $G$ is a totally degenerate group. That is, $\Omega_{0}=\Omega_{G}$. Then, there exists an end $e$ of $N_{G}=\mathbf{B}^{3} / G$ such that $e$ does not correspond to the conformal boundary $\Omega_{0} / G$. The end $e$ is called the degenerate end of $N_{G}$.
From a theorem of Thurston, we may find a sequence $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of simple closed curves in $S$ such that their geodesic representatives in $N_{G}$ exit the end $e$ and the sequence converges to a lamination in the space of measured laminations on $S$. The support $\lambda_{e} \subset S$ of the lamination is called the ending lamination of $N_{G}$.

The ending lamination $\lambda_{e}$ is a geodesic lamination on $S$. It is known that there exists a holomorphic quadratic differential $\Phi_{e}$ on $S$ such that the vertical foliation $\Phi_{e, v}$ is equivalent to $\lambda_{e}$.

Now, we construct the model manifold $M_{G}$ for $G$. The model manifold $M_{G}$ is topologically $S \times \mathbb{R}$ but it has a metric $d s_{G}$ called the model metric. The model metric $d s_{G}$ on $S \times\{t\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{G}^{2}=e^{|2 t|} d x^{2}+e^{-2 t} d y^{2}+d t^{2}, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d x$ is the measure in the horizontal direction and $d y$ in the vertical direction of $\Phi_{e}$. Note that when $t=0$ the metric equals the metric induced by $\Phi_{e}$. Hence $S \times\{0\}$ is identified with $S$ as a Riemann surfaces, and $D \times\{0\}$, in the universal covering $\tilde{M}_{G}(=D \times \mathbb{R})$ of $M_{G}$, is identified with the unit disk $D$. Then, Minsky establishes the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1 (Minsky). There exists a homeomorphism $f: M_{G} \rightarrow N_{G}$ such that the mapping $f$ and its lift $\tilde{f}: \tilde{M}_{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{3}$ to the universal coverings are quasi-isometric.

Actually, $\tilde{f}(\cdot, t)(t \leqslant 0)$ are induced from natural mappings from $S=D / \Gamma$ onto $\partial \mathcal{C}_{|t|}(G)$, where $\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}(G)(\varepsilon \geqslant 0)$ is the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}(G)$.

Let $\varphi: D \rightarrow \Omega_{0}$ be a Riemann map. We may assume that $\Omega_{0} \ni 0$, that $\partial \mathcal{C}(G) \ni \mathbf{0}$ and that $\Pi(0)=0$. Consider $\Gamma:=\varphi G \varphi^{-1}$. We define an isomorphism $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ by $\rho(\gamma)=\varphi^{-1} \gamma \varphi$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By taking a conjugation of $\varphi$ via a Möbius transformation, we see that both $\tilde{f}(\cdot,-\varepsilon)$ and $\Pi_{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi$ induce the same $\rho$.
Since $G$ has bounded geometry, it contains no parabolic elements. Thus, $S=\Omega_{0} / G=D / \Gamma$ is a compact Riemann surface and we may take a fundamental region $\omega \ni 0$ for $\Gamma$ bounded by finitely many geodesic arcs that are projected to simple closed curves on $S$.

Since $\omega$ is a convex polygon with finitely many sides, it is not hard to see that we may take a constant $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2)$ such that, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{i d\}$, there exist a ray $r_{\gamma}$ from the origin and a geodesic $\ell_{\gamma}$ containing a side $e_{\gamma}$ of $\gamma(\omega)$ such that $e_{\gamma} \cap r_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ and the intersection angle of $e_{\gamma}$ and $r_{\gamma}$ is in $(\theta, \pi / 2)$.

Let $z_{\gamma}$ be the point of $e_{\gamma} \cap r_{\gamma}$. Since $\theta$ is independent of $\gamma$, it follows that there exists a constant $d>0$ not depending on $\gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{D}\left(0, z_{\gamma}\right) \leqslant d_{D}\left(0, \ell_{\gamma}\right)+d . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we consider the mapping $\Pi_{\varepsilon}: \Omega_{0} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}(G)$ defined in Section 2. Since both $\Pi_{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi$ and $\tilde{f}(\cdot,-\varepsilon)$ are continuous mappings with the same isomorphism $\rho$ for $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$, they must be uniformly close to each other on $D$ with respect to the hyperbolic metrics on $D$ and $\mathbf{B}^{3}$. Indeed, since $\bar{\omega}$ is compact, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}\left(\tilde{f}(z,-\varepsilon), \Pi_{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi(z)\right) \leqslant C
$$

for any $z \in \bar{\omega}$. Therefore, for any $g \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}\left(\tilde{f}(g(z),-\varepsilon), \Pi_{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi(g(z))\right. & =d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}\left(\rho(g)(\tilde{f}(z,-\varepsilon)), \rho(g)\left(\Pi_{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi(z)\right)\right) \\
& =d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}\left(\tilde{f}(z,-\varepsilon), \Pi_{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi(z)\right) \leqslant C .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\left|d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}\left(\mathbf{0}, \tilde{f}\left(z_{\gamma},-\varepsilon\right)\right)-d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}\left(\mathbf{0}, \Pi_{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right)\right|<\delta
$$

for a given $\varepsilon>0$. On the other hand, from Lemma 4.1 we have

$$
A^{-1} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}\left(\varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right) \leqslant 1-\left\|\Pi_{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right\| \leqslant A \delta_{\Omega_{0}}\left(\varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right)
$$

for some constant $A>0$ independent of $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Noting that $d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}(\mathbf{0}, p)=\log (1+\|p\|) /(1-\|p\|)$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-1} \delta_{\Omega_{0}}\left(\varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right) \leqslant 1-\left\|\tilde{f}\left(z_{\gamma}, \varepsilon\right)\right\| \leqslant A \delta_{\Omega_{0}}\left(\varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{\Phi}_{e}$ denote a lift of $\Phi_{e}$ on $D$ and let $L_{\gamma}$ be the $\left|\tilde{\Phi}_{e}\right|$-geodesic passing through $z_{\gamma}$ that has the same end points as $\ell_{\gamma}$. From the same argument as in [14, Lemma 7.3] we see that there exist constants $a, \alpha>0$ not depending on $\gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diam}_{E} \tilde{f}\left(H_{\gamma}\right) \leqslant a d_{\left|\tilde{\Phi}_{e l}\right|}\left(0, L_{\gamma}\right)^{-\alpha} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{diam}_{E}$ is the Euclidean diameter and $H_{\gamma}$ is the component of $\tilde{M}_{G}=D \times \mathbb{R}-L_{\gamma} \times \mathbb{R}$ not containing $(0,0)$. Noting that $\left(z_{\gamma}, 0\right) \in \partial H_{\gamma}$ and $\partial \tilde{f}\left(H_{\gamma}\right) \cap \partial \mathbf{B}^{3} \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\left\|\tilde{f}\left(z_{\gamma}, 0\right)\right\| \leqslant \operatorname{diam}_{E} \tilde{f}\left(H_{\gamma}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tilde{f}: M_{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{3}$ is a quasi-isometry, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}\left(\mathbf{0}, \tilde{f}\left(z_{\gamma}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \leqslant K d_{\mathbf{B}^{3}}\left(\mathbf{0}, \tilde{f}\left(z_{\gamma}, 0\right)\right)+\delta^{\prime} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $K, \delta^{\prime}>0$. Combining inequalities (6.3) to (6.6), we have

$$
\delta_{\Omega_{0}}\left(\varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right) \leqslant a d_{\left|\tilde{\Phi}_{e}\right|}\left(0, L_{\gamma}\right)^{-\alpha} .
$$

On the other hand, it is known that the identity on $D$ is a quasi-isometry with respect to the $\left|\tilde{\Phi}_{e}\right|$ metric and the hyperbolic metric. Hence

$$
\delta_{\Omega_{0}}\left(\varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right) \leqslant a d_{\left|\tilde{\Phi}_{e}\right|}\left(0, L_{\gamma}\right)^{-\alpha} \leqslant b d_{D}\left(0, L_{\gamma}\right)^{-\alpha}
$$

and

$$
d_{D}\left(0, L_{\gamma}\right) \geqslant c d_{D}\left(0, \ell_{\gamma}\right)
$$

hold. Using (6.2), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{D}\left(0, z_{\gamma}\right)^{\alpha} \leqslant A \delta_{\Omega_{0}}\left(\varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right)^{-1} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $A, \alpha>0$ independent of $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $\varphi$ is a conformal mapping from $D$ onto $\Omega_{0}$, it follows that (6.7) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Omega_{0}}\left(0, \varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right)^{\alpha} \leqslant A \delta_{\Omega_{0}}\left(\varphi\left(z_{\gamma}\right)\right)^{-1} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we get an inequality similar to (4.10). Since $\overline{\varphi(\omega)}$ is compact in $\Omega_{0}$, the same argument as that in the proof of Lemma 4.2 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Omega_{0}}(0, z)^{\alpha} \leqslant A \delta_{\Omega_{0}}(z)^{-1} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $z \in \Omega_{0}$. Here, we note that we may use the Euclidean distance to measure $\delta_{\Omega_{0}}(\cdot)$ for the same reason as in the previous sections.
By using the Koebe distortion theorem as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the desired inequality as follows:

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{A}{(1-|z|)|\log (1-|z|)|^{\alpha}}
$$

REmark 6.1. Minsky [14] shows that the limit set of a Kleinian group with bounded geometry is locally connected and Miyachi [15] estimates the modulus of continuity of the Cannon-Thurston map for such a group. In our situation, Miyachi's estimate is nothing but the modulus of continuity of the Riemann map $\varphi$ on $\partial D$. Actually, he does not consider the derivative of the Riemann map but he proves that

$$
\left|\varphi\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)-\varphi\left(e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{A}{\left|\log \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\right|^{\alpha}}
$$

for some $\alpha>0$.
While we have a similar result for a regular b-group Corollary 1.1 from the growth of the derivative of the Riemann map (Theorem 1.2), our theorem, Theorem 1.4, does not cover Miyachi's result because we only show that the exponent $\alpha$ is positive. In order to obtain Miyachi's result from our argument, it is necessary to show that $\alpha>1$.
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