1. Let  $g(x) = \log f(x)$ . Becauce  $g''(x) = \frac{f''(x)f(x)-(f'(x))^2}{f(x)^2}$ , g' is non-decreasing if and only if  $f''(x)f(x) - (f'(x))^2 \ge 0$ . Thus f is logarithmically convex if and only if  $f''(x)f(x) - (f'(x))^2 \ge 0$  by the Proposition 3.3.

2. Suppose that  $f:(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex function, that is,

$$f(tx_2 + (1-t)x_1) \le tf(x_2) + (1-t)f(x_1)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in (a, b)$ ,  $x_1 < x_2$ , and 0 < t < 1. Let  $a < x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < b$ , and choose  $t = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_1}$ . Then  $1 - t = \frac{x_3 - x_2}{x_3 - x_1}$  and  $x_2 = tx_3 + (1 - t)x_1$ , and thus

$$(x_3 - x_1)f(x_2) \le (x_2 - x_1)f(x_3) + (x_3 - x_2)f(x_1) + x_2f(x_2) - x_2f(x_2),$$

from which we have

$$\frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \le \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2}$$

On the other hand,

$$f(x_2) \le \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_1} f(x_3) + \frac{(x_3 - x_1) - (x_2 - x_1)}{x_3 - x_1} f(x_1),$$

and thus

$$\frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \le \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_1)}{x_3 - x_1}.$$

By applying these inequalities to points  $a < x_1 < x_2 < x < x + h_1 < x + h_2 < b$  we obtain

$$\frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \le \frac{f(x + h_1) - f(x)}{h_1} \le \frac{f(x + h_2) - f(x)}{h_2}.$$

Hence the function  $F_1(h) = \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}$  is bounded below and increasing in some interval  $(0, \delta)$  and thus the limit  $\lim_{h\to 0^+} F_1(h) = f'_+(x)$  exists. Similarly, by writing the convextity condition as

$$f(x_2) \le \frac{(x_3 - x_1) - (x_3 - x_2)}{x_3 - x_1} f(x_3) + \frac{x_3 - x_2}{x_3 - x_1} f(x_1),$$

we obtain

$$\frac{f(x_3) - f(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2} \le \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_1)}{x_3 - x_1}.$$

Hence, if  $a < x - h_2 < x - h_1 < x < x_1 < x_2 < b$ , we have

$$\frac{f(x) - f(x - h_2)}{h_2} \le \frac{f(x) - f(x - h_1)}{h_1} \le \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1}$$

Thus the function  $F_2(h) = \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h}$  is bounded above and decreasing in some interval  $(0, \delta)$  and hence the limit  $\lim_{h\to 0^+} F_2(h) = f'_-(x)$  exists.

Now let  $x \in (a, b)$ . Since we know that  $f'_+(x)$  and  $f'_-(x)$  exist, we may write

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} f(x+h) - f(x) = \left(\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}\right) \left(\lim_{h \to 0^+} h\right) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{h \to 0^{-}} f(x+h) - f(x) = \left(\lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}\right) \left(\lim_{h \to 0^{-}} h\right) = 0.$$

Hence f is continuous at x. If f is convex in a closed interval [a, b], it is not nessessarily continuous at the endpoints a and b. An easy counterexample is the function  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f(0) = f(1) = 1 and f(x) = 0 for all 0 < x < 1.

3. a) Let  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be convex,  $x_1, ..., x_n \in [a,b]$  and  $t_1, ..., t_n \ge 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ . If n = 1, the assertion is trivially true and if n = 2 the assertion is true by the definition of convex functions. Suppose  $f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i f(x_i)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i x_i\right) = f\left(t_{n+1} x_{n+1} + (1 - t_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i x_i}{1 - t_{n+1}}\right)$$
  
$$\leq t_{n+1} f(x_{n+1}) + (1 - t_{n+1}) f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i x_i}{1 - t_{n+1}}\right)$$
  
$$\leq t_{n+1} f(x_{n+1}) + (1 - t_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i}{1 - t_{n+1}} f(x_i)$$
  
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i f(x_i),$$

since  $\frac{t_1+\ldots+t_n}{1-t_{n+1}} = 1$ . Conversely suppose  $f(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f(x_i)$  for any points  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in [a, b]$  and the real numbers  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_1 = 1$ . Then  $f(tx_2+(1-t)x_1) \leq tf(x_2)+(1-t)f(x_1)$ for all  $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$  and  $0 \le t \le 1$  since t + (1 - t) = 1. So f is convex.

b) Suppose that  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  is convex. Again, the assertion is true for n = 1 trivially and for n = 2 by the definition of convexity, so suppose that, for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i z_i \in A$  holds for all  $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in A$  and  $t_z, \ldots, t_n \ge 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i = 1$ . Then, if  $z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1} \in A$ and  $t_z, \ldots, t_{n+1} \ge 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i = 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i z_i = t_{n+1} z_{n+1} + (1 - t_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i}{1 - t_{n+1}} z_i \in A,$$

because  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{t_i}{1-t_{n+1}} = 1$  and thus  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{t_i}{1-t_{n+1}} z_i \in A$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i z_i \in A$  for all  $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in A$  and  $t_z, \ldots, t_n \ge 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i = 1$ . Then, by choosing n = 2 and  $t_2 = t$  we have  $tz_2 + (1-t)z_1 \in A$ , and thus A is convex.

4. Let  $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable convex function and  $a \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq b$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1), \text{ and define } h_1 &= t_1(x_2 - x_1) \text{ and } h_2 = t_2(x_2 - x_1). \text{ Then} \\ \\ \frac{f(x_1 + h_1) - f(x_1)}{h_1} &= \frac{f(t_1x_2 + (1 - t_1)x_1) - f(x_1)}{h_1} \\ &\leq \frac{t_1f(x_2) + (1 - t_1)f(x_1) - f(x_1)}{h_1} \\ &= \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{h_1/t_1} = \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} = \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{h_2/t_2} \\ &= \frac{f(x_2) - (t_2f(x_1) + (1 - t_2)f(x_2))}{h_2} \\ &\leq \frac{f(x_2) - f(t_2x_1 + (1 - t_2)x_2)}{h_2} \\ &= \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_2 - h_2)}{h_2}. \end{aligned}$$

By letting  $h_1 \to 0$  we have

$$f'(x_1) \le \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_2 - h_2)}{h_2},$$

and by then letting  $h_2 \to 0$ , we obtain  $f'(x_1) \leq f'(x_2)$ .

Suppose then that  $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable such that f' is increasing, and let  $a \leq x_1 < x_2 < x_3 \leq b$ . By the mean value theorem, there exist  $y_1 \in (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y_2 \in (x_2, x_3)$  such that

$$f'(y_1) = \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1}$$
 and  $f'(y_2) = \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2}$ .

Hence

$$\frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \leq \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} + \left(\frac{f(x_3) - f(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2} - \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1}\right) \frac{x_3 - x_2}{x_3 - x_1} \\
= \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} + \frac{(x_2 - x_1)f(x_3) - (x_3 - x_1)f(x_2) + (x_3 - x_2)f(x_1)}{(x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1)} \\
= \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_1)}{x_3 - x_1}.$$

By defining  $t = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_1}$ , we obtain  $x_2 = tx_3 + (1 - t)x_1$  and

$$f(tx_3 + (1-t)x_1) \le tf(x_3) + (1-t)f(x_1),$$

and hence f is convex.

5. Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be logarithmically convex. Becauce  $g(x) = e^x$  is increasing and convex (g' is increasing), we have

$$f(tx_2 + (1-t)x_1) = e^{\log f(tx_2 + (1-t)x_1)} \le e^{t\log f(x_2) + (1-t)\log f(x_1)} = tf(x_2) + (1-t)f(x_1)$$

 $\forall x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . So f is convex. On the other hand,  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$  is convex (f' is increasing), but  $\log x^2$  is not  $(f''(x)f(x) - (f'(x))^2 = 4x - 4x^2 < 0$  when  $x \notin (0, 1]$ ).

6. Let  $0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty$  and suppose that f is analytic in  $A(0; R_1, R_2)$ . Let  $G = \{x + iy : \log R_1 < x < \log R_2\}$  and  $R_1 < r_1 \le r \le r_2 < R_2$ . Now the function  $e^z$  maps G onto  $A(0; R_1, R_2)$  and  $\partial G$  onto  $\partial A(0; R_1, R_2)$ , and f is continuous in  $\overline{A(0; r_1, r_2)}$ . Consider the function  $g(z) = f(e^z)$ , which is now analytic in G, continuous in  $\overline{G_{r_1,r_2}} \subset G$ , where  $G_{r_1,r_2} = \{x + iy : \log r_1 < x < \log r_2\}$ , and thus also bounded in  $G_{r_1,r_2}$ .

Define the function  $M : [\log r_1, \log r_2] \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$M(x) = \sup_{-\infty < y < \infty} |g(x + iy)|.$$

By Theorem 3.4 we know that  $\log M$  is a convex function, and hence

$$\log M(\log r) \le t \log M(r_1) + (1-t) \log M(\log r_2)$$

where  $t = \frac{\log r_2 - \log r}{\log r_2 - \log r_1}$  and therefore  $1 - t = \frac{\log r - \log r_1}{\log r_2 - \log r_1}$ . Now, by the definitions of M and g, we have

$$M(\log r) = \sup_{-\infty < y < \infty} |f(e^{\log r + iy})| = \sup_{-\infty < y < \infty} |f(re^{iy})| = \max_{z \in \partial D(0,r)} |f(z)| = M(r, f),$$

and the assertion follows.

1. Let  $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$  be analytic and 0 < r < 1. Let  $R_1 \in (0,r), R_2 \in (r,1)$  and  $r_1, r_2 \in (R_1, R_2)$  such that  $r_1 < r < r_2$ . Then

$$\log M(r, f) \le \frac{\log r_2 - \log r}{\log r_2 - \log r_1} \log M(r_1, f) + \frac{\log r - \log r_1}{\log r_2 - \log r_1} \log M(r_2, f)$$

by Hadamard's three circles theorem. From this we have

$$\log M(r, f) \le \frac{(\log r_2 - \log r_1) - (\log r - \log r_1)}{\log r_2 - \log r_1} \log M(r_1, f) + \frac{\log r - \log r_1}{\log r_2 - \log r_1} \log M(r_2, f)$$
$$= (\log r - \log r_1) \frac{\log M(r_2, f) - \log M(r_1, f)}{\log r_2 - \log r_1} + \log M(r_1, f),$$

and thus

$$\frac{\log M(r,f) - \log M(r_1,f)}{\log r - \log r_1} \le \frac{\log M(r_2,f) - \log M(r_1,f)}{\log r_2 - \log r_1}.$$

Similar calculation (write the coefficient of  $\log M(r_2, f)$  as  $\frac{(\log r_2 - \log r_1) - (\log r_2 - \log r)}{\log r_2 - \log r_1}$ ) shows that  $\log M(r_2, f) = \log M(r_2, f) - \log M(r_2, f) = \log M(r_2, f)$ 

$$\frac{\log M(r_2, f) - \log M(r_1, f)}{\log r_2 - \log r_1} \le \frac{\log M(r_2, f) - \log M(r, f)}{\log r_2 - \log r}$$

On the other hand, by writing Hadamard's result as

$$((\log r_2 - \log r) + (\log r - \log r_1)) \log M(r, f) \le (\log r_2 - \log r) \log M(r_1, f) + (\log r - \log r_1) \log M(r_2, f),$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\log M(r,f) - \log M(r_1,f)}{\log r - \log r_1} \le \frac{\log M(r_2,f) - \log M(r_1,f)}{\log r_2 - \log r_1}.$$
(1)

Now, in a similar way as in exercise 2 in chapter 3, we see that the function  $F_1(r) = \frac{\log M(r,f) - \log M(r_1,f)}{\log r - \log r_1}$  is bounded below and increasing in some  $(r_1, r_1 + \delta)$ , and the function  $F_2(r) = \frac{\log M(r_2,f) - \log M(r,f)}{\log r_2 - \log r}$  is bounded above and increasing in some  $(r_2 - \delta, r_2)$ . Therefore the limits

$$\lim_{r \to r_1^+} F_1(r) = \left(\frac{d \log M(r, r)}{d \log r}\right)_+ \Big|_{r=r_1} \text{ and } \lim_{r \to r_2^-} F_2(r) = \left(\frac{d \log M(r, r)}{d \log r}\right)_- \Big|_{r=r_2}$$

both exist. Now we need to show that  $\left(\frac{d\log M(r,r)}{d\log r}\right)_{-} \leq \left(\frac{d\log M(r,r)}{d\log r}\right)_{+}$ . But this follows from inequality (1) by letting  $r_1 \to r^-$  and  $r_2 \to r^+$ , and we are done.